LECIA L. SHORTER 1 287 S. ROBERTSON BLVD., STE 291 **BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90211** 2 (310) 869-5835 3 leciashorter@yahoo.com 4 Plaintiff in Pro Per 5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CV1 2-7337 9 LECIA L. SHORTER, 10 **COMPLAINT FOR:** Plaintiff, 11 1) CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, 42 U.S.C VS. 12 §1983; 2) NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF LEROY BACA, GLORIA MOLINA, MARK 13 **EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;** 3) INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON 14 **EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;** KNABE, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, DEPUTY 4) DEFAMATION; 1.5 5) ASSAULT AND BATTERY; SHERIFF AVALOS, DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ, the 6) NEGLIGENT HIRING AND SUPERVISION 16 7) NEGLIGENCE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and, Does 1 17 through 10, inclusive, 18 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 PLAINTIFF LECIA L. SHORTER ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS: 24 25 Plaintiff, LECIA L. SHORTER, is a citizen of the United States, and is now, and at all 1. 26 times mentioned herein was, a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. At all 27 28

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES-1

times mentioned in this Complaint was a prisoner in the custody of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department at the Century Regional Detention Facility, in Lynwood, California.

- 2. This is an action brought pursuant to the First, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and to 42 U.S.C. §1983. The jurisdiction of this Court is predicated on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a).
- 3. A substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred in Lynwood, California located in Los Angeles County. Venue is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- 4. Each named Defendant was, at all times mentioned in this complaint, acting under color of statutes, ordinances, regulations, customs, or usage, of the State of California. This action is brought against Defendants for violation of Plaintiff's a) right to be free of cruel and unusual punishment, guaranteed by the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States

 Constitution; b) Sixth Amendment right to a speedy trial; c) Sixth Amendment right to be represented by counsel for her defense; c) First Amendment right to freedom of association with her attorney; d) right to be free from "deliberate indifference" to her serious medical and dental needs as guaranteed by the Eighth Amendment; e) her right to be free from excessive bail; and, f) Eighth Amendment right to have dietary guidelines to maintain adequate nutrition for her unique medical issues.
- 5. Defendant LEROY BACA, is and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, acting in the course and scope of his employment as the Sheriff of Los Angeles County. As Sheriff, he is the chief executive officer of the Los Angeles Sheriff Department. According to California law, the Sheriff is answerable for the safekeeping of the inmates in his custody. California Government Code §§26605 and 26610; California Penal Code §4006. Specifically, Defendant BACA is responsible for the oversight, management and administration of policies of the County of Los Angeles with reference to local jails, including but not limited to housing practices, transportation, and, medical and dental services. This includes all matters relating to the selection, supervision, promotion, training, and discipline of the uniformed staff, including the supervisory security and

medical staffs, of the County Jails. He is also responsible for the care, custody, and control of all inmates housed in the County Jails.

- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Baca is regularly provided with reports of applications of force, substandard medical care, improper strip searches, allegations of unreported and excessive use of force and breaches of security in the County Jails. Plaintiff sues Defendant BACA in his official and individual capacity.
- 7. Defendant Gloria Molina is the Supervisor of the First District of Los Angeles County. The Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the County of Los Angeles, a charter county. As such, it has the function of serving as the executive and legislative head of the Los Angeles County. Defendant Molina is sued in her official capacity regarding her administrative and executive acts while serving as a member of the Board of Supervisors for Los Angeles County.
- 8. Defendant Mark Ridley-Thomas is the Supervisor of the Second District of Los Angeles County. The Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the County of Los Angeles, a charter county. As such, it has the function of serving as the executive and legislative head of the Los Angeles County. Defendant Ridley-Thomas is sued in his official capacity regarding his administrative and executive acts while serving as a member of the Board of Supervisors for Los Angeles County.
- 9. Defendant Zev Yaroslavsky is the Supervisor of the Third District of Los Angeles County. The Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the County of Los Angeles, a charter county. As such, it has the function of serving as the executive and legislative head of the Los Angeles County. Defendant Yaroslavsk is sued in his official capacity regarding his administrative and executive acts while serving as a member of the Board of Supervisors for Los Angeles County.
- 10. Defendant Don Knabe is the Supervisor of the Fourth District of Los Angeles County.

 The Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the County of Los Angeles, a charter county. As such, it has the function of serving as the executive and legislative head of the Los Angeles County.

Defendant Knabe is sued in his official capacity regarding his administrative and executive acts while serving as a member of the Board of Supervisors for Los Angeles County.

- 11. Defendant Michael D. Antonovich is the Supervisor the Fifth District of Los Angeles County. The Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the County of Los Angeles, a charter county. As such, it has the function of serving as the executive and legislative head of the Los Angeles County. Defendant Antonovich is sued in his official capacity regarding his administrative and executive acts while serving as a member of the Board of Supervisors for Los Angeles County.
- 12. Defendants, and each of them, are aware of the culture of deputy violence, intimidation, retaliation, substandard medical care, improper body searches, and inappropriate conduct that pervades the Los Angeles County Jails and have failed to take reasonable measures to remedy the problems. Plaintiff therefore charges Defendants Baca, Molina, Ridley-Thomas, Yaroslavsky, Knabe and Antonovich with violations of Plaintiffs' First, Sixth, Eighth, Eleventh and Fourteenth Amendment right to reasonable protection from violence and excessive force, substandard medical and dental care, interference with her right to associate with her attorney, and, interference with her right to a speedy trial.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have acquiesced in, fostered, and implicitly authorized the abuse incurred by Plaintiff by failing to adequately train and supervise deputies and the medical staff in the face of historical and continual evidence of abuse. Defendants have failed to conduct meaningful investigations of reports of excessive force, improper strip searches, sub-standard medical and dental care, render guilty deputies accountable, and repeatedly ignored evidence that deputies and other Los Angeles County officials are covering up incidents of excessive force, inmate torture, substandard medical care, improper strip searches and overall prisoner abuse.
- 14. On or about May 4, 2010, Plaintiff filed a complaint and claim for damages with Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department concerning the use of excessive force by Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputies Ian Beckford and R. Williams on April 9, 2010. Specifically, Plaintiff was

3

8

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

physically assaulted by Deputy Ian Beckford when making an inquiry about a court appearance at the Los Angeles Superior Court at LAX on April 9, 2010. Deputy Beckford called for assistance and Deputy Williams and another unidentified deputy joined in the attack, forcing Plaintiff to the floor and putting their knees on Plaintiff's back with force.

- Notwithstanding his responsibilities as chief executive officer of the Los Angeles **15**. Sheriff Department and notice of the allegations, Defendant LEROY BACA did not personally investigate the allegations of misconduct concerning Deputies Beckford, Williams and the unidentified deputy involved in the incident of April 9, 2010.
- On or about August 24, 2010, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors denied **16**. Plaintiff's claim for damages without making an inquiry to Defendant LEROY BACA or conducting an investigation of the misconduct of Deputies Beckford and Williams. The Los Angeles County Supervisors who failed to investigate Plaintiff's complaint were Defendants GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE and MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH.
- On or about January 21, 2011, Plaintiff filed a complaint and claim for damages **17**. with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department concerning a series of incidents that occurred during her incarceration from October 19, 2010 through November 24, 2010. Specifically, on October 17, 2010, Plaintiff was arrested by the Beverly Hills Police Department after having been accused of trespassing at her private mail box location. Plaintiff was held on \$30,000.00 bail and transported to the Century Regional Detention Facility in Lynwood, California, operated by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.
- While at the Century Regional Detention Facility from October 19 through 18. November 24, 2010, Plaintiff experienced the following: a) placement in a cell for two days with an inoperable toilet that was filled with urine, no ventilation, no water, an inoperable sink, an inoperable toilet, no blanket, no mattress padding on the steel bunk; b) denied showers; c) deputies' refusal to provide immediate medical attention to address Plaintiff's serious medical condition; d) being kicked and slapped after fainting; e) substandard medical care; f) deputy

interference with medical decisions after being hospitalized; g) being forced to have a medical procedure; h) refusals of request to speak with her attorney and/or a family member after being hospitalized; i) deputy physical and mental abuse while Plaintiff was hospitalized; and, not being allowed confidential communications with health care providers while hospitalized.

- 19. Notwithstanding his responsibilities as chief executive officer of the Los Angeles Sheriff Department and notice of the allegations, Defendant LEROY BACA did not personally investigate the allegations of misconduct concerning Plaintiff's incarceration from October 19, 2010 through November 24, 2010.
- 20. On or about March 15, 2011, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors denied Plaintiff's claim for damages without making an inquiry to Defendant LEROY BACA or investigating Plaintiff's allegations.
- 21. The Los Angeles County Supervisors failed to make and inquiry of Defendant BACA concerning Plaintiff's incarceration from October 19 to November 24, 2010 were Defendants GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE and MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH.
- 22. On or about January 18, 2012, Plaintiff filed a complaint and claim for damages directly with the County of Los Angeles concerning various incidents that occurred during her incarceration at the Century Regional Detention Center from November 15, 2011 through December 17, 2011. Plaintiff also sent a complaint to Defendant LEROY BACA about the incident that occurred during the same period of incarceration. Plaintiff's complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 23. Plaintiff exhausted all available administrative remedies, by filing a claim with Los Angeles County on January 18, 2012. Plaintiff also wrote Defendant LEROY BACA personally to apprise him of the incidents at Century Regional Detention Facility. Defendant LEROY BACA wrote Plaintiff and told her she had received dental care. On February 28, 2012, Plaintiff's claim for damages was denied by Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

FIRST CLAIM FOR VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C §1983 (AGAINST DEFENDANTS LEROY BACA, SHERIFF DEPUTY ORTIZ, SHERIFF DEPUTY AVALOS AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY)

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates herein paragraphs 1 through 22 as though fully set forth below.
- 24. While incarcerated at the Century Regional Detention center from November 15, 2011 through December 17, 2011, Plaintiff experienced the following: a) lack of medical oversight; b) refusals to adhere to court medical orders; c) shower deprivations; d) denied medication for a critical medical condition; e) refused attorney communications notwithstanding requests by her attorney; f) repeat deputy insistence that Plaintiff manipulate her vagina; g) being chained to the door of her cell without clothes on numerous occasions for up to six hours at a time; h) food deprivation; i) being kept in a holding cell for ten to twelve hours at the Criminal Court Building and not being allowed to appear in court despite attorney and court requests; j) deprivation of dental care for an infected tooth; and, k) a cell that was never cleaned during her entire incarceration.
- 25. On or about February 28, 2012, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, and each of them, denied Plaintiff's claim for damages without making an inquiry to Defendant LEROY BACA, the Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputies Alvalos and Ortiz, the medical staff at Century Regional Detention Facility or investigating Plaintiff's allegations.
- 26. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged in this Complaint, subjected Plaintiff to unnecessary and wanton infliction of physical injury, pain, and emotional distress.
- 27. In acting as alleged in this complaint, Defendants, and each of them, violated Plaintiff's right to be free of cruel and unusual punishment, guaranteed by the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

- Defendants also violated Plaintiff's First Amendment right to freedom of 28. association with her attorney and her Sixth Amendment right to a speedy trial by keeping Plaintiff in a holding cell on several occasions and not allowing her to appear in court and refusing to allow her conferences with her attorney despite the attorney's requests.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants actions, described in this complaint, 29. plaintiff has suffered injury, pain, and emotional distress in that she was humiliated, embarrassed, suffered physical injuries, lost a tooth, and suffered mental anguish. Plaintiff was fearful of her death due to the deprivation of appropriate medical care and Defendants' refusal of her medication that assists her to not develop blood clots.
- In acting as is alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, and each of them, acted 30. knowingly, willfully, and maliciously, and with reckless and callous disregard for Plaintiff's federally protected rights.

SECOND CLAIM FOR NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (AGAINST DEFENDANTS LOS ANGELES COUNTY, DEFENDANT LEROY BACA, DEPUTY **SHERIFF AVALOS AND DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ)**

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 30 as though fully 31. set forth below.
- Defendants LEROY BACA, SHERIFF DEPUTY ORTIZ, SHERIFF DEPUTY AVALOS AND 32. LOS ANGELES COUNTY, at all times referenced herein, owed a duty to Plaintiff to not engage in discriminatory conduct, to not be physically and emotionally abusive to Plaintiff and to provide proper and adequate medical care and supervision of Plaintiff's medical condition. Specifically, Defendant BACA has a duty to provide adequate and appropriate oversight, management and administration of policies of the County of Los Angeles with reference to local jails, including but not limited to housing practices, transportation, and, medical and dental services.

- 33. Defendants and each of them knew, or should have known, that their misconduct as set forth herein would cause Plaintiff to experience severe emotional distress.
- 34. Defendants and each of them breached their duty of care to Plaintiff as a housed inmate of Century Regional Detention Facility as referenced *infra*.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants, and acts of cruel and unusual punishment, substandard medical care and violation of Plaintiff's First, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment Constitutional rights, as well as Defendants physical and emotional abuse Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional distress and mental suffering, all to her damage in an amount to be established at trial.

THIRD CLAIM FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS LOS ANGELES COUNTY, DEFENDANT LEROY BACA, DEPUTY SHERIFF AVALOS AND DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ)

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 as though fully set forth below.
- 37. At all times referenced herein, the employees of DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES e.g. DEFENDANT LEROY BACA, DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ AND DEPUTY SHERIFF AVALOS acted within the scope of their employment with DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the misconduct referenced herein, Plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional psychological distress, severe harm and anguish including but not limited to physical and emotional abuse. Additionally, the actions of DEFENDANTS DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ AND DEPUTY SHERIFF AVALOS and Does 1 thru 25 as referenced herein were specifically designed to embarrass, harass, humiliate and annoy Plaintiff. Plaintiff has suffered damages and continues to suffer damages in an amount to be established at trial.
- 39. Defendants, and each of their actions as referenced herein, particularly the physical and emotional abuse and disparaging comments regarding Plaintiff, were knowing, intentional,

and willful, because they had full knowledge or knew with substantial certainty of the extreme emotional psychological distress, severe harm, and anguish that their conduct would cause Plaintiff or any person of ordinary sensitivity. Said conduct was outrageous and intended to cause harm and emotional distress to Plaintiff and Plaintiff has in fact experience psychological and emotional harm as set forth below.

40. The conduct of Defendants was outrageous, intentional, malicious and oppressive, and done with a wanton and reckless disregard of the consequences to Plaintiff. Defendants also knew or should have known that their physical and emotional abuse would cause Plaintiff psychological and emotional harm. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendants intended for their conduct to humiliate, annoy and embarrass Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages in amount to be established at trial.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR DEFAMATION (AGAINST DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY, DEFENDANT LEROY BACA AND DOES 1 THRU 25)

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 as though fully set forth below.
- 42. DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY and its employees, agents, servants and assigns individually and in their capacity as employees of DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY made false representations to the public about Plaintiff's mental status and/or condition as an inmate within a Los Angeles County jail facility. Specifically, Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY and its agents, employees, servants and assigns forced Plaintiff to wear yellow shirts and blue pants, representing that she was an inmate with a medically diagnosed psychological condition.
- 43. Defendants, and each of them, knew the representation of wearing yellow shirts and blue pants was false in that Plaintiff has never been medically diagnosed with a psychological condition. Defendants intended that the representation of wearing yellow shirts and blue pants to subject and expose Plaintiff to hatred, contempt, ridicule and shame.

While wearing a yellow shirts and blue pants, a judicial officer of the Los Angeles

44.

- Superior Court stated openly in during a court hearing, "I am not worried about what she has to say.

 Look at what she is wearing, she is obviously crazy." The judicial officer apparently believed the negative and false connotation of wearing yellow shirts and blue pants and viewed Plaintiff in a negative light as a result.

 45. As a direct and proximate cause of the false representation of wearing yellow shirts
- 45. As a direct and proximate cause of the false representation of wearing yellow shirts and blue pants, Plaintiff has experienced embarrassment, hurt feelings and mortification as a result of the statement made by the judicial officer of the Los Angeles Superior Court and the false representation that she had been medically diagnosed with a mental condition.
- 46. Defendants knew that forcing Plaintiff to wear yellow and blue represented that she had been diagnosed with a mental condition, and, they knew that such a representation was false. Defendants intended to place Plaintiff in a false and negative light to the public and specifically, her attorneys, Los Angeles County employees and Los Angeles County judicial officers.
- 47. Because of the intentional nature of Defendants false representations about Plaintiff, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages in an amount to be established at trial.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR BATTERY (AGAINST LOS ANGELES COUNTY, DEFENDANT LEROY BACA, DEPUTY SHERIFF AVALOS AND DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ)

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 47 as though fully set forth below.
- 49. From November 15, 2011 through December 17, 2011, Plaintiff was housed as an inmate at the Century Regional Detention Facility under the care and supervision of DEFENDANTS LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND DEFENDANT LEROY BACA.
- 50. On November 29, 2011, Plaintiff was taken to LAX court for a pre-trial hearing.

 When Plaintiff arrived at Century Regional Detention Facility, she was taken to the 2300 module where Sheriff Deputies Ortiz, Avalos and Garcia were waiting to bring her to her cell. The deputies

asked Plaintiff for her clothes and my bra. They then asked her to lift my breasts and turn around, bend over, open her vagina and cough. Plaintiff bent over, opened her inner thighs and coughed. The sheriff deputies stated that was not good enough. DEFENDANT DEPTUY ORTIZ took Plaintiff's pants and her lunch and said, "you must not want to eat and you must want to stay like that all night." Plaintiff grabbed the chain used to restrain her so that she could use the toilet if need be. DEFENDANT DEPUTY AVALOS returned and pretended she was going to unlock the handcuff on Plaintiff's wrist and instead locked both handcuffs onto Plaintiff's left hand and tightened them to the point that her wrist became swollen and red. DEFENDANT DEPUTY AVALOS also pulled on the slack of the chain so hard that the chain cut Plaintiff's baby finger of her left hand. DEFENDANT SHERIFF DEPUTY ORTIZ then instructed the trustees to not serve Plaintiff dinner and they did not. Plaintiff stayed chained to the door until approximately four hours until a male deputy officer Ramirez unlocked the handcuffs.

- 51. Plaintiff did not consent to the touching by the employees of DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the touching by the employees of DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY, Plaintiff was physically abused and assaulted, harassed, injured emotionally, and damaged in an amount that will be established at trial.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR NEGLIGENT HIRING AND SUPERVISION (AGAINST DEFENDANTS LEROY BACA, GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, AND, LOS ANGELES COUNTY)

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 52 as though fully set forth below.
- 54. Defendant LEROY BACA, is and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, acting in the course and scope of his employment as the Sheriff of Los Angeles County. As Sheriff, he is the chief executive officer of the Los Angeles Sheriff Department. According to California law, the

Sheriff is answerable for the safekeeping of the inmates in his custody. California Government Code §§26605 and 26610; California Penal Code §4006. Specifically, Defendant BACA is responsible for the oversight, management and administration of policies of the County of Los Angeles with reference to local jails, including but not limited to housing practices, transportation, and, medical and dental services. This includes all matters relating to the selection, supervision, promotion, training, and discipline of the uniformed staff, including the supervisory security and medical staffs, of the County Jails. He is also responsible for the care, custody, and control of all inmates housed in the County Jails.

- 55. DEFENDANTS GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE, and, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH are members of the Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles County. The Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the County of Los Angeles, a charter county. As such, it has the function of serving as the executive and legislative head of the Los Angeles County.
- 56. DEFENDANTS GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE, AND, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH are also responsible for the oversight of the The Sybil Brand Commission for institutional Inspections consists of ten Commissioners, who were appointed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (two from each Supervisorial District). The Sybil Brand Commissioners conducts inspections of jails, lockups, probation and correctional facilities in the Los Angeles County at least once each year. They may conduct additional inspections more often as the Commission may deem necessary or as directed by a judge of the Superior Court. These inspections involve a complete evaluation of the condition of each facility the members of the Commission or a committee of the Commission. These inspections are to involve a complete evaluation of the condition of each facility's effective and economical administration, cleanliness, discipline and comfort of its inmates.
- 57. At all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANTS LEROY BACA, GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, and, LOS ANGELES

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

COUNTY knew or should have known that the conditions of the Century Regional Detention Facility were ineffective, unclean and subjected inmates to the risk of harm and even the risk of death. Defendants and each of them failed in the oversight, management and administration of the County of Los Angeles with reference to local jails, including but not limited to housing practices, transportation, and, medical and dental services. Furthermore, Defendants and each of them, knew or should have known that Los Angeles County employees that caused harm to Plaintiff were unfit to perform the work for which they were hired and that this unfitness created a particular risk of harm to Plaintiff and the public at large.

- 58. Defendants have failed to conduct meaningful investigations of reports of excessive force, improper strip searches, sub-standard medical and dental care, render guilty deputies accountable, and repeatedly ignored evidence that deputies and other Los Angeles County officials are covering up incidents of excessive force, inmate torture, substandard medical care, improper strip searches and overall prisoner abuse.
- As a direct and proximate cause of the evident unfitness of the employees, 59. administrators and officials of DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY and DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY, LEROY BACA, FLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE AND MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH'S negligence in hiring, retaining and failing to properly supervise its administrators, employees and the conditions of the Century Regional Detention Facility, Plaintiff has been generally and specially damaged in an amount to be established at trial.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR **NEGLIGENCE**

(AGAINST DEFENDANTS LEROY BACA, GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, AND, LOS ANGELES COUNTY)

Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 59 as though fully 60. set forth below.

- 61. Defendants, and each of them, have an obligation to oversee and evaluate the condition of each Los Angeles County jail facility's effective and economical administration, cleanliness, discipline and comfort of its inmate. This includes housing practices, transportation, and, medical and dental services. This also includes all matters relating to the selection, supervision, promotion, training, and discipline of the uniformed staff, including the supervisory security and medical staffs, of the County Jails. Defendants, and each of them, are also responsible for the care, custody, and control of all inmates housed in the County Jails.
- 62. Defendants have failed in their obligations to provide adequate medical and dental care, clean facilities, as well as effective and economical administration of its facilities.

 Defendants have further failed to conduct meaningful investigations of reports of excessive force, improper strip searches, sub-standard medical and dental care, render guilty deputies accountable, and, have repeatedly ignored evidence that deputies and other Los Angeles County officials are covering up incidents of excessive force, inmate torture, substandard medical care, improper strip searches and overall prisoner abuse.
- 63. On November 15, 2011, a medical order was issued by the Los Angeles Superior Court ordering that Plaintiff's INR level be monitored while taking the medication Coumadin. That medical order was ignored by the medical staff and administrators of the Century Regional Detention Facility until November 30, 2011.
- 64. On November 30, 2011, Plaintiff's INR level was taken and it was 4.4 which is twice the level that it should have been. Plaintiff was therefore subject to internal bleeding and other very serious medical conditions. On or about December 2, 2011, Plaintiff's medication was discontinued. Her INR was not taken again by the medical staff of the Century Regional Detention Facility.
- 65. On numerous occasion during her incarceration, Plaintiff requested dental care for an infected tooth. At no time from November 15, 2011 to December 17, 2011, was Plaintiff examined by a dentist. Plaintiff ultimately lost her infected tooth.

- 66. On December 17, 2011, Plaintiff's INR was 1.1 which was two times below that which it should have been, thereby subjecting her to blood clots in her legs and/or legs which could have caused her death.
- 67. As a direct and proximate cause of the failures of the employees, administrators and officials of DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY and DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES COUNTY, LEROY BACA, FLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE AND MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH'S in their obligations to provide adequate medical and dental care as well as effective and economical administration of its facilities, Plaintiff has been generally and specially damaged in an amount to be established at trial.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- 1. For general damages in the amount of \$1.25 million;
- 2. For general damages for mental and emotional distress according to proof;
- 3. For punitive damages;
- 4. For a civil penalty for each unlawful violation;
- 5. For costs of suit incurred herein;
- 6. Reasonable attorney's fees as allowed by statute; and,
- 7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff LECIA L. SHORTER hereby demands a jury trial.

Dated:

August 27, 2012

LECIA L. SHORTER
PLAINTIFF IN PRO PER

DECLARATION OF LECIA L. SHORTER I, Lecia L. Shorter, do hereby declare: 1. I am the plaintiff in this action. 2. I declare that the facts set forth herein are true and of my own personal knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated: August 27, 2012

EXHIBIT 'A'

LECIA L. SHORTER

287 S. Robertson Blvd. Suite 291 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 (310) 869-5835 leciashorter@yahoo.com

January 12, 2012

Leroy D. Baca, Sheriff Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department 4700 Ramona Blvd. Monterey Park, CA 91754

Re: Century Regional Detention Facility and the LASD

Dear Mr. Baca:

This letter is written as a formal complaint concerning my recent incarceration at the Century Regional Detention Facility from November 15, 2011 through December 17, 2011. My complaint concerns misconduct by deputies and grossly substandard and incompetent medical services by the medical staff. The actions of the employees of the Sheriff's Department violated my civil rights as an individual and interfered with my constitutional rights related to the legal proceedings in which I was involved including but not limited to interfering with my right to a speedy trial and my right to interact with my legal counsel.

The incidents to which I refer are specifically outlined below. Although I expect a response from your office, I also intend to submit a complaint for damages to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors.

11/13/11

A citizen's arrest was made by security personnel at Cedars Sinai Medical Center. I was subsequently arrested by LAPD for trespass, assault and battery and assault with a deadly weapon.

11/15/11

I was arraigned at Bouchet Street. For no reason whatsoever, I was chained at the waist and both of my hands were handcuffed. During court, a medical order was issued instructing the medical personnel to check my INR because of the blood thinner I take daily. After court, I was taken to CRDF, seated on a bench and then

chained to the bench. Several deputies asked why I was benched, I told them I had no idea.

Initially, I was taken to a medical unit where I spoke to a nurse and informed her that I take 7.5 mg of Coumadin daily and wanted to take the muscle relaxer Robaxin while there. I requested that my INR be taken because I had missed several doses since my initial incarceration. The request was ignored.

I was told by staff that I could shower the next day. I was not allowed a shower the following. In fact, I did not shower until 11/18, and only because my attorney submitted a complaint to the watch commander.

11/16/11

I was taken to the mental health unit and was given a yellow shirt and blue pants. The medical staff began to dispense the blood thinning medication at 7.5 mg yet my INR was not taken.

11/17/11

I was awakened for court at LAX. The deputy handcuffed both of my hands and then chained both hands to my waist. I was then taken to cell 7 in the reception area where I stood with other women who were also dressed in yellow shirts and blue pants.

While standing in cell 7, I got nauseous and short of breath. I was taken to the medical unit where my blood pressure, heart rate and oxygenation rate were taken. Dr. Chong then approached me and said I was fine and everything was okay. I asked whether he ruled out a blood clot. He said there was no clot because my INR was 2.0. I asked how he knew my INR. He said he'd checked my chart. I informed him that no blood draw had been done since I arrived at the facility. He excused himself and returned and said he'd been reading last year's (2010) chart. He then requested a lab tech draw my blood. The lab tech asked Deputy De Santo, the escorting officer, to remove one of my handcuffs so that she could look for a place to draw my blood. Deputy De Santa refused. The tech then attempted to draw blood from my hand several times and was unable to draw any blood.

Frustrated, I informed Deputy De Santo that I was ready to return to the reception area so that I could go to court. I also informed her that I needed to use the restroom. Deputy De Santo stated that it would be better to go to the restroom in the reception area. We returned to the reception area and she put me inside cell 7. I knocked on the door and reminded her I needed to use the toilet. She said "so go." I asked her to remove one of the handcuffs, she refused. I then banged on the window asking for a supervisor. I was ignored for one and one half hours by approximately 10 to 12 people, including a short black man with two stripes on his shirt.

After 1½ hours, the bus arrived. I arrived at LAX approximately 1½ hours later. The LAX deputies removed one of the handcuffs and I was able to use the toilet three hours after my initial request. Removal of the handcuff was particularly critical because I was menstruating and could not reach the old pad or place a new pad with both hands chained to my waist. Neither could I utilize toilet tissue.

I was returned to CRDF that evening and taken to the 2300 module. A female deputy offered to bring me to my cell. I asked if she was the floor deputy, she said no. She asked me to remove all of my clothes except my underwear. I took off everything except the bra and panties. She then said take off the bra, pull down the underwear and "open your vagina and cough." I pulled down the panties, opened my inner thighs and coughed. She said that was not good enough. I told her that was the best I could especially since I was having my period and having an extremely heavy flow. I had on two sanitary pads and a half roll of toilet paper attempting to contain the heavy blood flow. She told me to remove the sanitary pads and open my vagina. I refused. She said, "I guess you want to stay like that all night." She then chained me to the door of the cell with nothing on except the panties. She also took my attorneys business cards.

Deputy Ortiz walked by and asked whether I was ready to cooperate. I told her I'd done the best I could do. Approximately forty five minutes later, I manipulated my right hand out of the hand cuff. I asked the count deputy for clothes and she refused. That evening, I slept in a cold, air conditioned cell without clothes.

11/18/11

I requested clothes in the morning and was refused. At approximately 12:00 p.m., a black man from the psych department visited the cell and said he had questions to ask me. I told him I was not dressed and didn't think it was appropriate for him to question me without clothes. He said that I was covered in a blanket and that was sufficient. I told him that I had not been allowed a shower since I arrived at CRDF. I also told him about the incident the night before when I was chained to the door with just panties on. I told him I wanted to speak with a supervisor and he said he didn't believe that would be possible. I answered some of his questions and then told him he should return at another time when I was more appropriately dressed.

At approximately 3:00 p.m., I received a visit from my attorney. The deputies then scrambled to provide me clothes. My attorney complained that I had not been allowed to shower or receive appropriate medical attention e.g. having my INR checked. I received a shower after the attorney visit. My INR was not checked.

11/21/11

I went to court at the criminal courts building. I was chained and handcuffed with my hands at my waist from 6:45 a.m. to 5:45 p.m. (11 Hours). I and two other women were kept in Cell No. 2 for the entire time. I was not allowed to appear in court

notwithstanding my attorneys repeat requests throughout the day that I be brought to court. When I asked the deputies why I had not appeared in court, they said it was a "dry run" and that sometimes happens. My attorney later told me that he had been requesting that I be brought to court and the deputies told him they could not locate me. The "dry run" interfered with my right to a speedy trial and my right to communicate with my attorney.

I was returned to CRDF that evening. I was searched out by Deputy Williams and there were no problems. I did the same thing with Deputy Williams that I did for Deputies Ortiz and Avalos.

11/23/11

I went to court at the criminal courts building. I had a change of attorneys. I informed my new attorney that I needed to speak with him immediately and definitely before the next hearing date which was scheduled on 12/2/11. He asked the deputies to bring me to the 4th floor in approximately 20 minutes so we could talk. I was returned to cell no. 2 and twenty minutes later I was shipped on a bus to CRDF. I asked the deputies to telephone Department 40. They refused. I asked to speak with a supervisor and that request was also refused. This act also interfered with my right to a speedy trial and my right to communicate with my attorney.

I arrived at CRDF at approximately 11:30 a.m. and was placed in cell 7 with both hands cuffed at my waist. I stood in cell 7 for four hours. At approximately, 3:30 p.m., I was taken to module 2300 where Deputies Ortiz and Avalos stood waiting. Each officer grabbed an arm and walked me to the cell. They asked me to remove my clothes and bend over and open my vagina. I removed my clothes, pulled down the underwear, pulled on my inner thighs and coughed. I pulled up the underwear and turned around to look at the officers. They both yelled "we didn't see you, do it again." I asked what were they doing and they said they weren't looking. I repeated the routine twice and they insisted I didn't "search out" properly. I asked them to get their supervisor so that a supervisor could determine whether I "searched out" properly. Both deputies refused. Deputy Ortiz said, "fine, you must want to stay like that all night and you don't want to eat." Avalos left to get scissors, returned and cut the bra and shirt off the chain. Deputy Avalos stated that I shouldn't be out committing crimes and I wouldn't be in jail. She also said that I was "nothing." They would be at home with their families over the holidays and I would be in jail. Deputy Ortiz bragged that she had a rich husband and she worked because her "man don't mind." She also said that she was there earning extra money for her family "babysitting me."

I grabbed the slack on the chain so that I could use the toilet if necessary. Deputy Ortiz took my lunch and some notes that I had written. I was chained to the door with pants and no bra or shirt. There was a dirty shirt on the floor of the cell that I'd left that morning. I put on dirty shirt which I wore until I was allowed a shower on

12/2/11.

A trustee gave me dinner and then asked me to return the dinner because she was not supposed to have given me the meal. I refused. Deputy Oritz called on the intercom and said "I hope you enjoyed your dinner because tomorrow you ain't gettin' shit and we will be at home with our families enjoying Thanksgiving dinner and you will be here." Approximately 30 minutes later, Deputy Ortiz returned to the cell and asked whether I was ready to cooperate. I told her I did the best I could.

Approximately 30 minutes after Deputy Ortiz called on the intercom, Deputy Moreno called and asked whether I was ready to cooperate. I told her I would do what I'd done before and she said that was not good enough. Deputy Moreno then came to the cell and asked whether I was ready to "search out." I got up off the floor, pulled down my underwear, held my inner thighs open and coughed. She said that was not appropriate. I told her not to return because I believed that she was playing a game and simply wanted to view my rear end.

I stayed handcuffed to the door for approximately 6 hours. Deputy Moreno took off the cuffs at approximately 12:00 p.m.

11/29/11

I was taken to LAX court for a pre trial hearing. I informed my attorney, Leonard Friedman, that my INR had not been taken by the medical staff at CRDF and that I was not being allowed to shower, and was being mistreated by two to three of the floor deputies. The court agreed to give a medical order and a shower order, however, Mr. Friedman never submitted the orders to the court for signature. There was in any event an outstanding order from 11/15 which had been ignored.

I left LAX on the afternoon bus and was taken to CCB for approximately two hours. I arrived at CRDF at approximately 3:30 p.m. When I was taken to the 2300 module, Deputies Ortiz, Avalos and Garcia were waiting to bring me to the cell. They asked for my clothes and my bra. They then asked me to lift my breasts and turn around, bend over, open my vagina and cough. I bent over, opened my inner thighs and coughed. They said that was not good enough. Ortiz took my pants and my lunch and said, you must not want to eat and you must want to stay like that all night. I grabbed the chain so that I could use the toilet if need be. The officers left me chained to the door with just a shirt. I decided to engage in a protest and banged a handcuffed on the door of the cell for approximately two hours. Ortiz and Avalos repeatedly told me to stop banging. Avalos pretended she was going to unlock the handcuff and instead locked both handcuffs onto my left hand and tightened them to the point that my wrist was swollen and red. Avalos also pulled on the slack of the chain and I tried to prevent her from doing so. She pulled on the chain so hard that the chain cut the baby finger of my left hand. Ortiz instructed the trustees to not serve me dinner and they did not. I stayed chained to the door until approximately six thirty or

seven o'clock when a male officer Ramirez unlocked the handcuffs.

11/30/11

I was awakened at approximately 8:30 a.m. by the morning nurse and the nurse practitioner "Bachelor." They asked whether they could draw my blood for an INR check and I agreed. Ms. Bachelor apologized for ignoring my requests for two weeks prior and indicated that she had received a court order instructing that my INR be taken. I also informed Ms. Bachelor that I needed a special diet and she indicated she would return to discuss the special diet. Ms. Bachelor never returned not to discuss my INR, a special diet, or any other medical issues that I had.

A blood draw was taken and I bled for approximately 45 minutes and bled through four gauze bandages. That evening I refused my Coumadin.

I also asked the evening nurse for an antibiotic ointment for the wound on my left hand caused by Deputy Avalos and Deputy Avalos said no and closed the chute. Previously, Deputy Avalos refused my coumadin medication because I asked for ointment for a rash on my left wrist. Deputy Avalos attempted to touch the rashed area in my left wrist, I told her not to touch me and pulled my left hand away. She became agitated and slammed the chute and did not allow the nurse to give me my medication that evening.

12/2/11

I was taken to the criminal courts building for court. I was not allowed to go to court despite my attorney's request that I be brought to the courtroom. I was returned to CRDF on the afternoon bus. I was returned to the module, allowed to shower by a female officer. There were no problems with the search out. Deputies Ortiz and Avalos returned me to my cell. Deputy Avalos began a pattern of not allowing me to throw out my trash by not opening the shoot so the trustees can retrieve trash.

12/3/11

A Caucasian man visited the cell and said he was a psychiatrist. He told me that he thinks I may be bipolar. I asked him what caused him to reach that conclusion he said he had read some notes that indicated I was "irritable." He then asked whether I desired any psychiatric meds or sleeping pills and I refused. He also told me that my INR had returned 4.4 which is twice the level that it should have been.

12/5/11

! went to court, returned in the afternoon. No problem with the "search out."

12/12/11

Ms. Boyles from the psychiatric unit asked me whether I had a "problem" with the deputies. I told her about the "searching out" situation with Deputies Ortiz and Avalos and all of the other issues I was having. She asked whether I filled out a grievance. I explained I had no access to a grievance process because I was kept inside of the cell 24 hours a day unless I went to court.

Boyles said the deputies told her they did not allow me out of the cell because I was "hostile and aggressive" and what was my response. I told her my response was the explanation I had given her about the way I had been treated.

She said she would return that afternoon and attempt to get me out of the cell for a shower. She never returned, most likely because Deputy Ortiz was working that evening.

That evening, Deputy Avalos accompanied the evening nurse with medication. Avalos snatched my medication away from the nurse, placed the pills inside a small white cup, and put the cup on the floor and pushed them under the door. I refused to take the medication.

12/13/11

I went to the criminal courts building.

When I returned from court, Deputy Garcia allowed me to take a shower. There was no problem with the "search out" because Deputies Ortiz and Avalos were not present.

That evening, Deputy Avalos took my medication from the nurse, placed it in a which cup and put the medication on the floor and slid it under the door.

12/15/11

I went to the criminal courts building.

When I was returned from court, Deputies Ortiz and Avalos were waiting, accompanied by a third, unidentified woman who did not agree with their assessment that I was "problematic." The third woman stood watching them and there was no problem with the "search out." I did the same thing I always did.

12/16/11

I went to court at LAX. When I returned, Deputy Avalos accompanied me to the ceil with a new deputy. Deputy Ortiz was not present and there were no problems.

Additional Issues:

Medical -

Numerous requests for a dentist were were ignored. My tooth was infected.

I was given coumadin from 11/17/11 to 11/30/11 without anyone checking my INR. My requests to have my INR checked all went ignored. Once the medical staff discovered my INR was twice the level it should have been, my coumadin was discontinued altogether from 12/1/11 until I was released on 12/17/11. No member of the medical staff ever discussed my INR or the medication after 11/30/11.

Nurse Manoalo refused to give me any ointment for the rash that developed on my wrist.

I was given a K12 classification with no medical, legal or factual basis. The K12 classification caused me to be dressed in yellow and blue which inferred that I had psychiatric issues. I was told that an assessment was entered into the jail records on November 16. However, I never met with anyone from the psychiatric staff, particularly not with a physician who would have been able to make such an assessment.

The cell was never cleaned from 11/15/11 to 12/17/11 I spent 24 hours a day in the cell unless I went to court.

I received three showers during the entire incarceration.

Lecia L. Shorter LLS\

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) LECIA L. SHORTER						DEFENDANTS LEROY BACA, GLORIA MOLINA, MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, DON KNABE, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, DEPUTY SHERIFF AVALOS, DEPUTY SHERIFF ORTIZ,									
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)						Attorneys (If Known)									
Lecia L. Shorter	ì														
287 S. Robertson Blvd., N Beverly Hills, CA 9021															
						NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only n X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)									
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	2 3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party))	Citizen of This Sta	te		TF DI	l In	corporated or Paragraphics in this				EF 4		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				Citizen of Another	Another State					□5 □	15				
				Citizen or Subject	of a Fore	ign Country [33 🗆	3 Fo	oreign Nation		1]6 □	6		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box o	nly.)													
Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court State Court Reopened State Court R															
V. REOUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes \(\sigma \) No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)															
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ 1.25 million															
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)															
Civil Rights Violations of 42 USC 1983 - Specifically Defendants violated Plaintiffs 1st, 6th, 8th and 14th Constitutional rights															
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)															
OTHER CTATIFFE	T	CONTRACT		TORTS	T	TORTS		PRI	SONER		LABC	R			
OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment	☐ 110	Insurance	PEF	SONAL INJURY	1	PERSONAL			TTIONS	□ 710 I	Fair Labo		ards		
□ 410 Antitrust		Marine		Airplane		PROPERTY	□ 5		otions to		Act				
☐ 430 Banks and Banking		Miller Act	□ 315	Airplane Product		Other Fraud	.		cate Sentence		Labor/M				
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	1	Negotiable Instrument	□ 320	Liability Assault, Libel &		Truth in Lend		Ha 30 Ge	beas Corpus		Relations Labor/M				
Rates/etc.	150	Recovery of	L 320	Slander	□ 380	Other Persona Property Dam					Reportin	_			
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	ł	Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	□ 385	Property Dam					Disclosu				
and Corrupt		Judgment		Liability		Product Liabi	lity	Ot	her		Railway		.ct		
Organizations		Medicare Act		Marine Marine Product		NKRUPTCY			vil Rights	□ 790 (
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	□ 152	Recovery of Defaulted	1313	Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 US	C 11 5		ison Condition EITURE /	□ 7 91 □	Litigation				
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service		Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 423	158 Withdrawal 2	8		NALTY		Security				
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	I 153	,	□ 355	Motor Vehicle Product Liability	123	USC 157			griculture		PERTY		S		
Exchange									her Food &	III 820 4	C	ıts			
Daonango	155	Overpayment of	□ 360	•	CI	VIL RIGHTS		20 Ot			Copyrigh				
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12		Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	İ	Other Personal Injury	441	Voting		Dr	ug	□ 830 🛚	Patent	rle			
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	□ 160	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits	İ	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury-	□ 441 □ 442	Voting Employment		Dr 25 Dr	ug ug Related	□ 830 ː □ 840 ː	Patent Tradema		r.		
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	□ 160 □ 190	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	□ 362	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice	□ 441 □ 442	Voting		Dr 25 Dr Se	ug	□ 830 □ 840 SOC	Patent Tradema CIAL SE	CURITY	ď		
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	□ 160 □ 190	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury-	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443	Voting Employment Housing/Acc		Dr 25 Dr Se	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC	□ 830 □ 840 SOC □ 861 □ 862	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (139 Black Lu	CURITY 95ff) ing (923			
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise	□ 362 □ 365	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit	o- 0 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pre 88	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC I quor Laws	□ 830 □ 840 SOC □ 861 □ 862 □ 863	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (139 Black Lu DIWC/D	CURITY 95ff) ing (923			
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY	□ 362 □ 365	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American with Disabilities -	0-6 h 0-6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lio 40 R.J	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC 1 quor Laws R. & Truck	□ 830 □ 840 SOC □ 861 □ 862 □ 863	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (139 Black Lu DIWC/E (405(g))	CURITY 95ff) ing (923 IWW			
 □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act 	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 210	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure	□ 362 □ 365 □ 368	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit	D 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lie 40 R.1	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC I quor Laws	☐ 830 ☐ 840 SOC ☐ 861 ☐ 862 ☐ 863	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (139 Black Lu DIWC/D	CURITY 95ff) ing (923 NWW			
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220 □ 230	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 362 □ 365 □ 368	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit Disabilities - Employment American wit Disabilities -	D 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lio 40 R.1 50 Ai 60 Oc Sa	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC 1 quor Laws R. & Truck rline Regs excupational fety /Health	☐ 830 ☐ 840 SOC ☐ 861 ☐ 862 ☐ 863 ☐ 864 ☐ 865 FEDI	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (13! Black La DIWC/D (405(g)) SSID Tit RSI (405 ERAL TA	CURITY 95ff) ing (923 NWW le XVI (g)) AX SUI) rs		
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220 □ 230 □ 240	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	□ 362 □ 365 □ 368 □ 462	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization Application	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445 □ 446	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit Disabilities - Employment American wit Disabilities - Other	D 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lio 40 R.1 50 Ai 60 Oc	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC 1 quor Laws R. & Truck rline Regs excupational fety /Health	☐ 830 ☐ 840 SOC ☐ 861 ☐ 862 ☐ 863 ☐ 864 ☐ 865 FEDI ☐ 870	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (139 Black La DIWC/E (405(g)) SSID Tit RSI (405 ERAL TA Taxes (U	CURITY 95ff) ing (923 NWW le XVI (g)) AX SUIT) rs		
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220 □ 230 □ 240 □ 245	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	□ 362 □ 365 □ 368 □ 462	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445 □ 446	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit Disabilities - Employment American wit Disabilities - Other Other Civil	D 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lio 40 R.1 50 Ai 60 Oc Sa	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC 1 quor Laws R. & Truck rline Regs excupational fety /Health	□ 830 □ 840 SOC □ 861 □ 862 □ 863 □ 864 □ 865 FEDI □ 870	Patent Tradema TIAL SE HIA (13' Black La DIWC/E (405(g)) SSID Tit RSI (405 ERAL TA Taxes (U or Defer	CURITY P5ff) ing (923 FIWW He XVI F(g)) AX SUIT J.S. Plain dant)) IS ntiff		
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220 □ 230 □ 240 □ 245	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	□ 362 □ 365 □ 368 □ 462 □ 463	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee Other Immigration	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445 □ 446	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit Disabilities - Employment American wit Disabilities - Other	D 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lio 40 R.1 50 Ai 60 Oc Sa	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC 1 quor Laws R. & Truck rline Regs excupational fety /Health	□ 830 □ 840 □ 800 □ 861 □ 862 □ 863 □ 864 □ 865 □ 870 □ 871	Patent Tradema CIAL SE HIA (139 Black La DIWC/E (405(g)) SSID Tit RSI (405 ERAL TA Taxes (U	CURITY D5ff) ing (923 IWW le XVI (g)) AX SUIT LS. Plain dant) d Party) IS ntiff		
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of	□ 160 □ 190 □ 195 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220 □ 230 □ 240 □ 245	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	□ 362 □ 365 □ 368 □ 462 □ 463	Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee	□ 441 □ 442 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445 □ 446	Voting Employment Housing/Accommodations Welfare American wit Disabilities - Employment American wit Disabilities - Other Other Civil	D 6	Dr 25 Dr Se Pro 88 30 Lio 40 R.1 50 Ai 60 Oc Sa	ug Related izure of operty 21 USC 1 quor Laws R. & Truck rline Regs excupational fety /Health	□ 830 □ 840 □ 800 □ 861 □ 862 □ 863 □ 864 □ 865 □ 870 □ 871	Patent Tradema Tradema CIAL SE HIA (13: Black Lu DIWC/E (405(g)) SSID Tit RSI (405 ERAL TA Taxes (U or Defer IRS-Thir	CURITY D5ff) ing (923 IWW le XVI (g)) AX SUIT LS. Plain dant) d Party) I'S ntiff		

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pre	eviously filed in this court an	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ✓ No □ Yes						
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre-	viously filed in this court tha	t are related to the present case? ♥ No □ Yes						
□ B. €	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons we	or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial ould entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ration of labor if heard by different judges; or ration of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.						
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informati	on, use an additional sheet if	f necessary.)						
(a) List the County in this District; (☐ Check here if the government, it	California County of	utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).						
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country						
LOS ANGELES									
(b) List the County in this District; County in the government, it	California County of s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State i yees is a named defendant.	e if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).						
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country						
COUNY OF LOS ANGELES									
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca			of other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved. California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country						
COUNTY OF	LOS AL	IGELES							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	e the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or stract of land involved							
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (\sim 1								
or other papers as required by lay	This form, approv	red by the Judicial Conference	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings to of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)						
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:								
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement o	f Cause of Action						
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))							
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)							
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))							
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S.	ws or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security S.C. 405(g))						
864	SSID	All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	tal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security						
RSI All claims for retiremen U.S.C. (g))			(old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42						